

# HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

## Report

<b>Committee/Panel:</b>	Corporate Parenting Board
<b>Date:</b>	14 March 2018
<b>Title:</b>	Corporate Parenting Board – Key Performance Indicators
<b>Report From:</b>	Director of Children’s Services

**Contact name:** Roger Warren, District Service Manager

**Tel:** 01256 362083

**Email:** Roger.Warren@hants.gov.uk

### 1. Recommendation

- 1.1. That the Corporate Parenting Board notes the Key performance Indicators with a view to monitoring these in the future.
- 1.2. That the outcomes of the benchmarking exercise in relation to the education of Children Looked After (and those previously looked after) be provided to a future Corporate Parenting Board.

### 2. Summary

- 2.1. The purpose of this paper is to report to the Corporate Parenting Board on the overall progress of the Child Looked After sector of Children’s Services.

### 3. Children in Care Performance Indicators

- 3.1. The report below gives an explanation and rolling year data for the children in care performance indicators. These are set out below;

R83.1, R83.2, R83.4 - CLA Health Monitoring  
R147 - CLA Permanence  
R86 - SDQ’s (Coverage and Mean scores)  
R66 - Missing Children  
R63 - The percentage of children looked after at year end with 3 or more placements during the year  
R64 - The Percentage of LAC under 16 who have been looked after continuously for 2.5yrs who were living in the same placement for at least 2 years, or placed for adoption and their adoptive and previous placement together lasted for more than 2 years.  
R72 – CLA Attendance  
R150 – SERAFS

### 4. CLA Health Monitoring

4.1. Shows the health care of children who have been looked after continuously for at least 12 months.

**4.2. R83.1 – Dental**

4.3. This indicator looks at the dates recorded for completed dental checks for looked after children. The county target for this indicator is 85%. The table below shows data for 12 months rolling year for January 2018.

	Jan-18			latest month trend
District of Team Responsible	Dental Checks	CLA > 12+ Months	%	
East	299	481	62.2%	▼
West	442	531	83.2%	▼
County	777	1081	71.9%	▼

**4.4. R83.2 – Health**

4.5. This indicator looks at the dates recorded for completed health assessments for looked after children. The county target for this indicator is 85%. The table below shows data for 12 months rolling year for January 2018.

	Jan-18			latest month trend
District of Team Responsible	Health Check	CLA > 12+ Months	%	
East	275	481	57.2%	▼
West	341	531	64.2%	▼
County	683	1081	63.2%	▼

**4.6. R83.4 - CLA Immunisations**

4.7. This indicator looks at recording of immunisations recorded for looked after children. This indicator looks at those who have been looked after continuously for at least 12 months. The county target for this is indicator 80%. The table below shows data for 12 months rolling year for January 2018.

	Jan-2018			Latest Month Trend
Team Responsible District	Up to date	CLA Cohort	%	
East	302	481	62.8%	▲
West	347	531	65.3%	▲
County	669	1081	61.9%	▲

**4.8. R147 – CLA Permanence**

**4.9.** This indicator looks at Permanency Plans and Permanency Achieved. This is recorded on the system once the panel decides that permanency is in a child's best interest. This then denotes the formal start of a permanency plan. When a child's placement is agreed to have achieved the goal of Permanency, this is recorded by a "IRO Note - Linked to Permanent Placement" case note. There no current county target for this indicator. The table below shows data for 12 months rolling year for January 2018.

Area	Key Team District	No. of Current CLA	No. of Permanency Plans	No. of Permanency Achieved
<b>East Total</b>		<b>478</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>West Total</b>		<b>531</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>Total</b>		<b>1078</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>272</b>

**4.10. R86 CLA SDQ's**

**4.11.** This indicator looks at all looked after children regardless of when they came into care. A Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire should be completed at least once per year in respect of every child in care aged 4 - 16 by the child's carer. This indicator looks at both the mean score of an SDQ and the coverage %.

**4.12.** The mean score of an SDQ is made up of the total number of SDQ's completed, divided by the total score of each completed SDQ. A higher mean score would indicate higher complexity with the team caseload. This is not a measurable indicator of the performance of the individual team.

**4.13.** The average county mean score target is 13. The table below shows data for 12 months rolling year for January 2018.

Area	Jan-18		
	b	a	Ave
<b>East Total</b>	<b>3419</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>14.3</b>
<b>West Total</b>	<b>3387</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>13.7</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>6872</b>	<b>490</b>	<b>14.0</b>

**4.14.** The coverage score is made up from the total number of SDQ's completed with in the team. This gives a measurable indication of performance for completing SDQ's.

**4.15.** The average county coverage target 75%. The table below shows data for 12 months rolling year for January 2018.

Area	Jan-18		
	SDQs Completed	SDQs Required	Coverage%
East Total	239	406	58.9%
West Total	248	451	55.0%
Grand Total	490	887	55.2%

#### 4.16. R66- Mispers

4.17. This indicator looks at the percentage of children looked after who went missing from care (for 24 hours or more) during the year as a percentage of all children looked after during the year.

4.18. The table below shows data for 12 months rolling year for January 2018

Area & District of Team Responsible	January-2018			latest month trend
	CLA Reported Missing 24 hrs	CLA During Year	%	
East	75	948	7.9%	▼
West	67	906	7.4%	▲
County	142	2030	7.0%	▲

4.19. R63 - The percentage of children looked after at year end with 3 or more placements during the year

4.20. This indicator looks at the percentage of children looked after who have had three or more placements within the year (rolling year). The county target is 13%. The table below shows data for 12 months rolling year for January 2018

Area & District of Team Responsible	January-2018		
	The number of CLA having 3+ placements	CLA During Year	%
East	125	726	17.2%
West	137	735	18.6%
County	286	1,564	18.3%

**4.21. R64 – This is indicator shows the Percentage of LAC under 16 who have been looked after continuously for 2.5yrs who were living in the same placement for at least 2 years, or placed for adoption and their adoptive and previous placement together lasted for more than 2 years.**

**4.22.** The county target is 66%. The data below shows the rolling year.

		Jan-18		
KT Area	Key Team	b	a	%
<b>East Total</b>		<b>143</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>60.1%</b>
<b>West Total</b>		<b>179</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>69.6%</b>
<b>Non-specific Total</b>				
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>327</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>64.4%</b>

**4.23. R72 – CLA Attendance**

**4.24.** Children looked after continuously for at least 12 months –The % of sessions missed over the last 12 months due to authorised and un-authorised absences.

**4.25.** The county target is 5%. The table below shows the data (academic year to date)

	02/02/2018					
	Count of Swift ID	Sum of Total Available Sessions	Sum of Total Auth Absent Sessions	% Auth Abs	Sum of Total Un-Auth Absent Sessions	% Un Ath Abs
<b>C&amp;F Key Team</b>						
<b>East</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>69169</b>	<b>4186</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>1379</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
<b>West</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>73233</b>	<b>3897</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>1220</b>	<b>1.7%</b>
<b>County</b>	<b>836</b>	<b>146100</b>	<b>8254</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>2601</b>	<b>1.8%</b>

**4.26. R150 – SERAFS**

**4.27.** SERAFs and other risk assessments to be completed and reviewed. Note that this indicator records SERAFs that have been completed and if they have been completed correctly. There is currently no tracking report that measures the compliance of SERAFs for all of those children that are eligible for one.

**4.28.** The county target for SERAFS is 100%.

KT Area	KT District	On-going	Complete	Ended but detail missing <sup>2</sup>	Ended but not Authorised	On-going, but score shown	Ended but no scoring	Grand Total
East Total		76	475	14	8	1	47	628
West Total		92	522	57	18	1	13	723
Grand Total		216	1,099	84	31	3	65	1,530

## 5. Education:

### 5.1. Provisional results for 2017

Provisional results for children in care 2017 (subject to change)			
Early Years Foundation Stage	Key Stage 1 (age 7)	Key Stage 2 (age 11)	Key Stage 4 (age 16)
41% achieved a 'Good Level of Development'( 35.5% 2016)	37% achieved the 'expected standard' or above in all subjects (same as 2016)	26% achieved the 'expected standard' or above in all subjects (24% 2016)	19.1% achieved the 'Basics' measure – levels 4 and above (roughly equivalent to C grade and above) in both English and Maths (16.7% 2016)

5.2. 90% of children in care are now in a school OFSTED rates as good or outstanding.

5.3. Nearly 60% of children looked after had school attendance above 95% during 2016-17. 8 % had 100% attendance.

5.4. However there is still a need to focus on reducing overall and persistent absence for Hampshire's children in care which is above the national children looked after figure.

5.5. The Virtual School has worked with over 100 teachers as part of our Attachment and Trauma Aware (ATAS) Schools programme. Participants are

now trained in 'emotion coaching' and using this to support children young people' with their emotional health needs in schools.

- 5.6.** We have launched the 'Virtual College' in partnership with Hampshire Futures 5 new team members will support young people on to education, employment or training at age 16 and beyond.
- 5.7.** New statutory guidance for Local Authorities around the education of children looked after (and those previously looked after) has been published by the Virtual School. A benchmarking exercise against current practice is now being undertaken and an update can be provided to the next Corporate Parenting Board.

**CORPORATE OR LEGAL INFORMATION:****Links to the Strategic Plan**

<b>Hampshire maintains strong and sustainable economic growth and prosperity:</b>	No
<b>People in Hampshire live safe, healthy and independent lives:</b>	Yes
<b>People in Hampshire enjoy a rich and diverse environment:</b>	No
<b>People in Hampshire enjoy being part of strong, inclusive communities:</b>	Yes
<b>OR</b>	
<b>This proposal does not link to the Strategic Plan but, nevertheless, requires a decision because:</b>	

**Other Significant Links**

<b>Links to previous Member decisions:</b>	
<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<b>Direct links to specific legislation or Government Directives</b>	
<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>

**Section 100 D - Local Government Act 1972 - background documents**

The following documents discuss facts or matters on which this report, or an important part of it, is based and have been relied upon to a material extent in the preparation of this report. (NB: the list excludes published works and any documents which disclose exempt or confidential information as defined in the Act.)

DocumentLocation

None



## **IMPACT ASSESSMENTS:**

### **1. Equality Duty**

**1.1.** The County Council has a duty under Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 ('the Act') to have due regard in the exercise of its functions to the need to:

Eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act;

Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic (age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, gender and sexual orientation) and those who do not share it;

Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.

**Due regard in this context involves having due regard in particular to:**

The need to remove or minimise disadvantages suffered by persons sharing a relevant characteristic connected to that characteristic;

Take steps to meet the needs of persons sharing a relevant protected characteristic different from the needs of persons who do not share it;

Encourage persons sharing a relevant protected characteristic to participate in public life or in any other activity which participation by such persons is disproportionately low.

### **1.2. Equalities Impact Assessment:**

Due to the nature of this report an Equalities Impact Assessment has not been completed.

### **2. Impact on Crime and Disorder:**

Due to the nature of this report there is no impact on Crime and Disorder.

### **3. Climate Change:**

How does what is being proposed impact on our carbon footprint / energy consumption?

Due to the nature of this report there is no impact on Climate Change.

How does what is being proposed consider the need to adapt to climate change, and be resilient to its longer term impacts?

Due to the nature of this report there is no impact on Climate Change.